

# The probabilistic method of Erdős in inverse Galois theory

Orges Leka

April 25, 2026

## Abstract

We formulate a probabilistic strategy for inverse Galois theory based on relative resolvents. Let  $G \leq S_n$  be a transitive subgroup of order  $n$ . A classical relative resolvent criterion says that, for an irreducible degree  $n$  polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , a rational zero of an appropriate  $S_n/G$ -resolvent forces  $\text{Gal}(h/\mathbb{Q}) \leq G$  up to conjugacy. Since irreducibility gives transitivity and  $|G| = n$ , this inclusion then forces equality.

The idea developed here is to impose the rational-root condition for the resolvent as an explicit algebraic condition on the rational coefficients of  $h$ , and then choose the coefficients randomly on the resulting coefficient variety. The desired Erdos-type conclusion would be that the probability of irreducibility is positive on this constrained family. This would produce a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $G$ . We formulate a finite rational height box, define Bernoulli variables for resolvent success and irreducibility, compute their expectations, and state a counting conjecture under which an Erdős-type existence argument follows.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The basic setting</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The primitive invariant and the absolute resolvent</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The resolvent criterion</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The exact collapse value and the split base point</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Randomized coefficient interpolation</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	The finite rational height box . . . . .	5
5.2	The uniform mass on $\mathcal{T}_B$ . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>The Bernoulli variables</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1	The resolvent Bernoulli variable . . . . .	6
6.2	The irreducibility Bernoulli variable . . . . .	6
6.3	Expectation of $X_B$ . . . . .	6
6.4	A positive lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[X_B]$ . . . . .	7
6.5	Conditional expectation of $Z_B$ . . . . .	8
<b>7</b>	<b>Bounding reducibility by degree partitions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>The Hardy–Ramanujan scale and the choice of <math>B(n)</math></b>	<b>9</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>A counting conjecture for the resolvent-successful locus</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Algorithmic form for small experiments</b>	<b>11</b>

# 1 The basic setting

Let

$$G \leq S_n$$

be a transitive subgroup with

$$|G| = n.$$

Thus the action of  $G$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is regular.

We seek a monic polynomial

$$h(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

with

$$\text{Gal}(h/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G.$$

If  $h$  is irreducible of degree  $n$ , then its Galois group acts transitively on its  $n$  roots. Since  $|G| = n$ , it is enough to force the actual Galois group into a conjugate of  $G$ . This is the role of an absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent.

# 2 The primitive invariant and the absolute resolvent

Let

$$z_1, \dots, z_n$$

be formal root variables. The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts by

$$\tau \cdot z_i = z_{\tau(i)}.$$

Define

$$m = z_1^1 z_2^2 \cdots z_n^n$$

and the  $G$ -orbit sum

$$\Theta_G(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot m = \sum_{\sigma \in G} z_{\sigma(1)}^1 z_{\sigma(2)}^2 \cdots z_{\sigma(n)}^n.$$

Equivalently,

$$\Theta_G = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \prod_{i=1}^n z_{\sigma(i)}^i.$$

**Lemma 2.1** (Primitivity of the orbit sum). *The invariant  $\Theta_G$  has stabilizer exactly  $G$ :*

$$\text{Stab}_{S_n}(\Theta_G) = G.$$

*Proof.* For  $\tau \in G$ , left multiplication gives

$$\tau \cdot \Theta_G = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \tau\sigma \cdot m = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot m = \Theta_G.$$

Thus  $G \leq \text{Stab}_{S_n}(\Theta_G)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\tau \in S_n$  fixes  $\Theta_G$ . Then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G} \tau\sigma \cdot m = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot m.$$

The monomials  $\rho \cdot m$ ,  $\rho \in S_n$ , are pairwise distinct because the exponents  $1, 2, \dots, n$  are pairwise distinct. Hence

$$\{\tau\sigma \cdot m : \sigma \in G\} = \{\sigma \cdot m : \sigma \in G\}.$$

Equivalently,  $\tau G = G$ , and therefore  $\tau \in G$ . Thus  $\text{Stab}_{S_n}(\Theta_G) = G$ . □

The associated absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent is

$$R_G(Y) = \prod_{\tau G \in S_n/G} (Y - \Theta_\tau),$$

where

$$\Theta_\tau := \tau \cdot \Theta_G = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \prod_{i=1}^n z_{\tau\sigma(i)}^i.$$

Thus

$$R_G(Y) = \prod_{\tau G \in S_n/G} \left( Y - \sum_{\sigma \in G} \prod_{i=1}^n z_{\tau\sigma(i)}^i \right).$$

Since  $\Theta_G$  has stabilizer exactly  $G$ , its distinct formal conjugates are indexed by the left cosets  $S_n/G$ . Therefore

$$\deg_Y R_G = [S_n : G] = \frac{n!}{n} = (n-1)!.$$

The values  $\Theta_\tau$  are permuted by  $S_n$ . Hence the coefficients of  $R_G(Y)$  are symmetric polynomials in  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . If

$$h(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$$

has roots  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , then

$$e_k(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (-1)^k a_{n-k}.$$

Consequently,

$$R_G(Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}][Y].$$

This is the key algebraic object used in the probabilistic construction.

### 3 The resolvent criterion

Let  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be separable of degree  $n$ , with roots  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Let

$$H = \text{Gal}(h/\mathbb{Q}) \leq S_n$$

be the Galois group through its action on the roots.

The standard resolvent principle says that rational roots of the absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent detect whether  $H$  fixes a coset of  $G$ . More precisely, away from the specialization locus where distinct formal resolvent values collide, one has

$$R_G(Y) \text{ has a rational root} \implies H \leq \tau G \tau^{-1}$$

for some  $\tau \in S_n$ .

**Proposition 3.1** (Resolvent criterion, regular case). *Let  $G \leq S_n$  be regular with  $|G| = n$ . Let  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be separable and irreducible of degree  $n$ , and suppose that the specialized resolvent*

$$R_G(Y; h)$$

*has a rational simple root  $Y_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then*

$$\text{Gal}(h/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G$$

*up to conjugacy in  $S_n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Y_0$  is a rational root, it is fixed by the actual Galois group  $H = \text{Gal}(h/\mathbb{Q})$ . The simplicity assumption ensures that this root corresponds to a unique coset  $\tau G$ . Hence  $H$  fixes that coset, so

$$H \leq \tau G \tau^{-1}.$$

Since  $h$  is irreducible,  $H$  acts transitively on the  $n$  roots. Thus  $n \mid |H|$ . But

$$|H| \leq |\tau G \tau^{-1}| = |G| = n.$$

Therefore  $|H| = n$ , and the inclusion is equality. Hence  $H = \tau G \tau^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *If the specialized resolvent has multiple coincident roots, then a rational root may come from a collision of several cosets. In applications one either removes this exceptional non-collision locus or includes the condition*

$$R'_G(Y_0) \neq 0$$

*in the good event.*

## 4 The exact collapse value and the split base point

The exact uncollapsed construction explains why the special value  $-a_{n-1}$  is natural, even though the randomized model below will use the more flexible condition that the resolvent have some rational root.

Let

$$h_0(x) = (x-1) \cdot (x-2) \cdots (x-n)$$

Hence

$$\text{Gal}(h_0/\mathbb{Q}) = C_1 \leq G.$$

Consequently, the absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent of  $h_0$  has a rational root. For the identity coset this root is precisely  $-a_{n-1}^{(0)}$ , where

$$h_0(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}^{(0)}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0^{(0)}.$$

## 5 Randomized coefficient interpolation

We now describe a randomized interpolation procedure between the split base polynomial  $h_0$  and a polynomial expected to have large Galois group.

Let

$$h_1(x) = x^n + x + 1$$

be the Selmer-type polynomial. Write

$$h_1(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}^{(1)}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1^{(1)}x + a_0^{(1)}.$$

Also write

$$h_0(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}^{(0)}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1^{(0)}x + a_0^{(0)}$$

for the split base polynomial constructed above from  $\alpha_i = i$  and  $\beta_g = \prod_i \alpha_{g(i)}$ .

For parameters

$$t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$$

define

$$b_i(t_i) = a_i^{(0)}t_i + (1-t_i)a_i^{(1)} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

Equivalently,

$$b_i(t_i) = a_i^{(1)} + t_i(a_i^{(0)} - a_i^{(1)}).$$

Then set

$$h(x; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i(t_i)x^i.$$

For every rational choice of the parameters, this polynomial lies in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

### 5.1 The finite rational height box

There is no countably additive uniform probability measure on  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . Therefore we work with a finite rational height box. For  $B \geq 1$ , define

$$\mathcal{T}_B = \left\{ \frac{u}{v} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] : 0 \leq u \leq v, \gcd(u, v) = 1, 1 \leq v \leq B \right\}.$$

We choose

$$t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$$

independently and uniformly from  $\mathcal{T}_B$ . Thus the probability space is

$$\Omega_B = \mathcal{T}_B^n.$$

Every point of  $\Omega_B$  gives a rational polynomial

$$h(x; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

### 5.2 The uniform mass on $\mathcal{T}_B$

For  $v = 1$ , the admissible fractions are

$$0 = \frac{0}{1}, \quad 1 = \frac{1}{1}.$$

For  $v \geq 2$ , the reduced fractions with denominator  $v$  and lying in  $[0, 1]$  are precisely

$$\frac{u}{v}, \quad 1 \leq u \leq v-1, \quad \gcd(u, v) = 1.$$

There are  $\varphi(v)$  such numerators. Hence

$$|\mathcal{T}_B| = 2 + \sum_{v=2}^B \varphi(v) = 1 + \sum_{v=1}^B \varphi(v).$$

Therefore each element of  $\mathcal{T}_B$  has probability

$$p_B = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_B|} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{v=1}^B \varphi(v)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{v=1}^B \varphi(v) \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} B^2,$$

so

$$|\mathcal{T}_B| \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} B^2, \quad p_B \sim \frac{\pi^2}{3B^2}.$$

Since the  $n$  parameters are independent, every tuple in  $\mathcal{T}_B^n$  has probability

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_B|^n}.$$

## 6 The Bernoulli variables

Let

$$R_G(Y; b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}[b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}][Y]$$

be the absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent associated to the chosen primitive invariant. After substituting

$$b_i = b_i(t_i)$$

we obtain

$$R_G(Y; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) := R_G(Y; b_0(t_0), \dots, b_{n-1}(t_{n-1})) \in \mathbb{Q}[Y].$$

### 6.1 The resolvent Bernoulli variable

Define

$$X_B = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there exists } Y_0 \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } R_G(Y_0; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $X_B$  is a Bernoulli random variable on  $\mathcal{T}_B^n$ . The event  $X_B = 1$  means that the randomly chosen polynomial has an  $S_n/G$ -resolvent with a rational root.

In a fully rigorous implementation one may refine this to a regular success event by also requiring that at least one rational root be simple:

$$R'_G(Y_0; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \neq 0.$$

This removes the exceptional collision locus of the resolvent.

### 6.2 The irreducibility Bernoulli variable

Define

$$Z_B = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_B = 1 \text{ and } h(x; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \text{ is irreducible over } \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Optionally, one may include the non-collision condition for the rational resolvent root in the definition of  $Z_B = 1$ . Under this regularity condition,  $Z_B = 1$  implies

$$\text{Gal}(h/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G.$$

Indeed, the rational resolvent root forces the Galois group into a conjugate of  $G$ , and irreducibility makes it transitive. Since  $|G| = n$ , this containment must be equality.

Thus it is enough to prove

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_B = 1) > 0$$

for some height bound  $B$ . More ambitiously, one would like to prove

$$\liminf_{B \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_B = 1) > 0.$$

### 6.3 Expectation of $X_B$

Since  $X_B$  is Bernoulli,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] = \mathbb{P}(X_B = 1).$$

Let

$$N_B = \# \{(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{T}_B^n : \exists Y_0 \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } R_G(Y_0; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) = 0\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] = \mathbb{P}(X_B = 1) = \frac{N_B}{|\mathcal{T}_B|^n}.$$

Using

$$|\mathcal{T}_B| = 1 + \sum_{v=1}^B \varphi(v),$$

we obtain the exact formula

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] = \frac{N_B}{\left(1 + \sum_{v=1}^B \varphi(v)\right)^n}.$$

For  $B \gg 0$ , since

$$|\mathcal{T}_B| \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} B^2,$$

we get

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] \sim \frac{N_B}{\left(\frac{3}{\pi^2} B^2\right)^n} = N_B \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3B^2}\right)^n.$$

#### 6.4 A positive lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[X_B]$

The expectation of  $X_B$  is positive because the split base polynomial lies in the search space. Indeed, if

$$t_0 = t_1 = \dots = t_{n-1} = 1,$$

then

$$b_i(1) = a_i^{(0)}$$

for all  $i$ , and hence

$$h(x; 1, \dots, 1) = h_0(x).$$

But  $h_0$  is completely split over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so

$$\text{Gal}(h_0/\mathbb{Q}) = C_1 \leq G.$$

Therefore the absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent of  $h_0$  has a rational root, and so

$$X_B(1, \dots, 1) = 1.$$

Since  $1 \in \mathcal{T}_B$  for every  $B \geq 1$ , this gives

$$N_B \geq 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] = \frac{N_B}{|\mathcal{T}_B|^n} \geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_B|^n} > 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] \geq \left(\frac{1}{1 + \sum_{v=1}^B \varphi(v)}\right)^n.$$

For  $B \gg 0$ , this lower bound is approximately

$$\mathbb{E}[X_B] \gtrsim \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3B^2}\right)^n.$$

This proves positivity for the resolvent Bernoulli variable. It does not prove positivity for  $Z_B$ , because the point  $(1, \dots, 1)$  gives the completely split polynomial  $h_0$ , which is reducible.

## 6.5 Conditional expectation of $Z_B$

We now condition on the resolvent-success event

$$X_B = 1.$$

Since  $Z_B = 1$  implies  $X_B = 1$ , one has

$$Z_B \leq X_B.$$

Conditional on  $X_B = 1$ , the random variable  $Z_B$  is again Bernoulli. Its expectation is

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] = \mathbb{P}(Z_B = 1 \mid X_B = 1).$$

Let

$$M_B = \#\{(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{T}_B^n : X_B = 1 \text{ and } h(x; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \text{ is irreducible over } \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_B = 1) = \frac{N_B}{|\mathcal{T}_B|^n}, \quad \mathbb{P}(Z_B = 1) = \frac{M_B}{|\mathcal{T}_B|^n}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Z_B = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(X_B = 1)} = \frac{M_B}{N_B}.$$

Thus the conditional expectation is exactly the proportion of resolvent-successful choices that produce an irreducible polynomial.

## 7 Bounding reducibility by degree partitions

Let

$$\Omega_B^X = \{(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{T}_B^n : X_B = 1\}$$

be the resolvent-successful sample space. Then

$$|\Omega_B^X| = N_B.$$

For  $\omega \in \Omega_B^X$ , write

$$h_\omega(x) = h(x; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

If  $h_\omega$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then it has a factorization

$$h_\omega(x) = f_1(x) \cdots f_r(x)$$

into monic irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with

$$\deg f_1 + \cdots + \deg f_r = n, \quad 1 \leq \deg f_j < n.$$

Thus every reducible polynomial determines a nontrivial partition of  $n$ ,

$$\lambda = (d_1, \dots, d_r), \quad d_1 + \cdots + d_r = n, \quad r \geq 2.$$

Let  $p(n)$  denote the partition function. The trivial partition  $(n)$  corresponds to the irreducible case. Hence the number of possible reducible degree patterns is  $p(n) - 1$ .

For each nontrivial partition  $\lambda \vdash n$ , define

$$\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X = \{\omega \in \Omega_B^X : h_\omega(x) \text{ has irreducible factor degrees given by } \lambda\}.$$

Then

$$\Omega_{B,\text{red}}^X = \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \neq (n)}} \Omega_{B,\lambda}^X.$$

By the union bound,

$$|\Omega_{B,\text{red}}^X| \leq \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \neq (n)}} |\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|.$$

In particular,

$$|\Omega_{B,\text{red}}^X| \leq (p(n) - 1) \max_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \neq (n)}} |\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|.$$

Dividing by  $N_B$ , we get

$$\mathbb{P}(h_\omega \text{ reducible over } \mathbb{Q} \mid X_B = 1) \leq (p(n) - 1) \max_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \neq (n)}} \frac{|\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|}{N_B}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] = 1 - \mathbb{P}(h_\omega \text{ reducible over } \mathbb{Q} \mid X_B = 1),$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] \geq 1 - (p(n) - 1) \max_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \neq (n)}} \frac{|\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|}{N_B}.$$

Thus, if for all nontrivial  $\lambda$

$$\frac{|\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|}{N_B} \leq \varepsilon_B,$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] \geq 1 - (p(n) - 1)\varepsilon_B.$$

In particular, if

$$(p(n) - 1)\varepsilon_B < 1,$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] > 0.$$

## 8 The Hardy–Ramanujan scale and the choice of $B(n)$

The partition function satisfies the Hardy–Ramanujan asymptotic formula

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp\left(\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}\right).$$

Thus, for large  $n$ ,

$$p(n) - 1 \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp\left(\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}\right).$$

Put

$$Q_B := |\mathcal{T}_B|.$$

Then

$$Q_B \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} B^2.$$

Suppose that, for some effective dimension  $\kappa$  and codimension saving  $\delta > 0$ , there are estimates

$$N_B \geq c_n Q_B^\kappa$$

and, for every nontrivial partition  $\lambda \neq (n)$ ,

$$|\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X| \leq C_n Q_B^{\kappa-\delta}.$$

Then

$$\frac{|\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|}{N_B} \leq \frac{C_n}{c_n} Q_B^{-\delta}.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1] \geq 1 - (p(n) - 1) \frac{C_n}{c_n} Q_B^{-\delta}.$$

It is enough to choose  $B = B(n)$  so that

$$(p(n) - 1) \frac{C_n}{c_n} Q_B^{-\delta} < 1.$$

Using  $Q_B \sim (3/\pi^2)B^2$ , this condition is approximately

$$(p(n) - 1) \frac{C_n}{c_n} \left( \frac{3}{\pi^2} B^2 \right)^{-\delta} < 1.$$

Equivalently, a sufficient scale is

$$B(n) \gg \left( (p(n) - 1) \frac{C_n}{c_n} \right)^{1/(2\delta)}.$$

By Hardy–Ramanujan, this suggests

$$B(n) \gg \left( \frac{C_n}{c_n} \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp\left( \pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} \right) \right)^{1/(2\delta)}.$$

If  $C_n/c_n$  grows moderately and  $\delta = 1$ , the natural scale becomes

$$B(n) \gg \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^{1/4} \sqrt{n}} \exp\left( \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} \right).$$

## 9 A counting conjecture for the resolvent-successful locus

We formulate the counting input needed for the Erdős-type argument.

**Conjecture 9.1** (Resolvent-success counting and factorization saving). *Let  $G \leq S_n$  be a regular subgroup of order  $n$ , and let  $\Omega_B^X$  be the resolvent-successful sample space associated to the coefficient interpolation construction above. Put*

$$N_B := |\Omega_B^X|.$$

*Then there exist constants*

$$\kappa = \kappa(G) > 0, \quad \delta = \delta(G) > 0,$$

*and positive constants*

$$c_G, C_G > 0$$

*such that, for all sufficiently large  $B$ ,*

$$N_B \geq c_G |\mathcal{T}_B|^\kappa.$$

Moreover, for every nontrivial partition

$$\lambda \vdash n, \quad \lambda \neq (n),$$

the number  $R_{B,\lambda} = |\Omega_{B,\lambda}^X|$  of resolvent-successful choices whose polynomial has factorization type  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$R_{B,\lambda} \leq C_G |\mathcal{T}_B|^{\kappa-\delta}.$$

Equivalently, each nontrivial factorization stratum has a uniform power saving inside the resolvent-successful locus.

Under this conjecture, the reducible locus is negligible after choosing  $B = B(n)$  sufficiently large compared with the partition count  $p(n)$ . Consequently, the conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_B \mid X_B = 1]$$

is positive for a suitable height bound  $B$ , and hence the probabilistic method produces a rational polynomial with Galois group  $G$ .

## 10 Algorithmic form for small experiments

For small values of  $n$ , the finite experiment can be implemented directly. The steps are:

1. Fix a regular subgroup  $G \leq S_n$ .
2. Build the split base polynomial by setting  $\alpha_i = i$  and

$$\beta_g = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g(i)}^i, \quad h_0(x) = \prod_{g \in G} (x - \beta_g).$$

3. Set  $h_1(x) = x^n + x + 1$ .
4. Interpolate coefficients by

$$b_i(t_i) = a_i^{(0)} t_i + (1 - t_i) a_i^{(1)}.$$

5. For each tuple  $(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{T}_B^n$ , compute

$$h(x; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1})$$

and its absolute  $S_n/G$ -resolvent

$$R_G(Y; t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

6. Set  $X_B = 1$  if the resolvent has a rational root.
7. Set  $Z_B = 1$  if additionally the polynomial is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and, if required, the rational resolvent root is simple.

The split tuple  $(1, \dots, 1)$  always contributes to  $X_B = 1$ . The core experimental and theoretical question is whether, after conditioning on  $X_B = 1$ , the irreducible choices have positive density or at least occur for some finite height bound  $B$ .